Grant Tinker

May 2, 2003

Senator John McCain Chair, Senate Commerce, Science and Transportation Committee Senator Ernest Hollings Ranking Member Room SR-255 Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senators:

For over thirty years, I was fortunate to have a career in television that was personally and professionally rewarding. I not only headed of my own production company, but was Chair of the Board and CEO of NBC Network in the mid-80s. As founder and President of MTM Enterprises, formed with my wife Mary Tyler Moore in 1970, we produced *The Mary Tyler Moore Show* and two spin-off hit series, *Rhoda* and *Phyllis*, as well as other successful comedies like *The Bob Newhart Show* and *WKRP in Cincinnati* and dramas like *Lou Grant*, *The White Shadow*, *Remington Steele*, *Hill Street Blues* and *St. Elsewhere*. Working in television was always an honor and a privilege and creatively satisfying.

Therefore, it is out of deep concern for the medium with the near extinction of independent production companies in television that I write to you. The independent creative entrepreneurs who for years supplied the networks with the best of American programming are in jeopardy.

With the 1996 Telecommunications Act it was hoped that hundreds of channels would provide thousands of programs from different sources and thus create a robust and competitive marketplace. Sadly, that has not happened. Because of media consolidation, six major conglomerates now control the vast majority of the landscape in broadcast and cable television. As Tom Wolzien, a respected media analyst for Sanford Bernstein says, "we are seeing the reemergence of a strong media oligopoly." With the change in the Financial Interest and Syndication Rules and with consolidation, hundreds of small and medium-sized companies, companies like mine, who produce television series and movies have disappeared as networks increasingly insist that they will produce their programming themselves. Whereas 10 years ago, 85% of the programs on television were created and produced by entities independent of the networks, today only 15% to 20% are produced by companies independent of the Big Six. This dramatic shift has resulted in a less creative and open marketplace for writers, producers and directors of television programs, and less good television for the country.

I am hoping that the Senate Commerce Committee, under your leadership, will encourage the FCC to develop policies directed at maintaining a diversity of viewpoints from different sources, to encouraging competition and small businesses. I ask that you

encourage the FCC to promulgate a new primetime access rule that would require the networks to obtain a significant percentage of their programming from companies that they do not own and control and with which they are not affiliated. The FCC should ensure that independent programming is maintained and protected on television so that in the future the American public can continue to get the best shows that the creative community can produce.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Grant Tinker

NBC Radio program department, 1949-54; TV department, McCann-Erickson advertising agency, 1954-58; Benton and Bowles advertising agency, 1958-61; Vice President of Programs, West Coast, NBC, 1961-66; Vice President in Charge of Programming, West Coast, NBC, New York City, 1966-67; Vice President, Universal TV, 1968-69; Vice President, 20th Century Fox, 1969-70; President, Mary Tyler Moore (MTM) Enterproses, Inc., 1970-81; Chair of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, NBC, Burbank, California, 1981-86; Independent Producer, Burbank, since 1986; President, GTG Entertainment, Culver City, California, since 1986.

May 5, 2003

Dear Senator John McCain,

I have had the privilege of creating, writing and producing television comedy for over forty years. The shows I have been associated with include, All In The Family, Sanford and Son, The Jeffersons, Good Times, Maude, and Mary Hartman, Mary Hartman. In addition, thanks to the American free enterprise system, my partners and I were able to build independent television producing and distributing companies such as Tandem, TAT, and Embassy. We built thesc companies in the seventies and eighties under the watchful eye of an FCC that was committed to keeping the playing field even, protecting against the vertical integration of the major broadcasting networks that would, if they had been allowed, have forced independent companies such as ours to take a minority interest in the very shows we had created, giving majority ownership to the network in order to get on the air.

I would suggest that what we independents were threatened with then is antithetical to everything we hold dear at the very core of capitalism. When the 1996 Telecommunications Act was enacted, many thought that, with the hundreds of channels that would be needing product, a diverse competitive marketplace would result. Because of the consolidation of media, however, only six major multinational conglomerates now control broadcasting cable television - - and, the way Chairman Powell is going, if unchecked, those six within ten years will become four or three. How can such an alarming shift in ownership result in anything other than a less open and creative atmosphere?

NORMAN LEAR

Your committee is in a position to influence rulings by the FCC that will have a profound impact on the culture our children and grandchildren will grow up in. To the extent that it can, I implore your committee to direct FCC policy to encourage the kind of competition that will provide the diversity of ideas and viewpoints necessary for their survival in the new century; not the kind of competition that FCC Chairman Powell talks about when he suggests - -- cynically, I believe - - that this kind of conglomeration would be a good thing.

Please call me if I can help in any way to further a discussion on this.

NL/mp

Honorable John McCain U. S. Senate Washington, DC 20510



May 1, 2003

Senator John McCain Chair, Senate Commerce, Science and Transportation Committee Senator Ernest Hollings Ranking Member Room SR-255 Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senators:

I am the writer and producer who created the television show "Murphy Brown". From 1988 until 1998 my show enjoyed a decade-long run during which it was nominated for 62 EMMY awards, won 20, was awarded a Peabody Award, a Golden Globe, several Writers Guild awards, several Humanitas prizes, and multiple Television Critics Association awards. This program, among the many others I have created, was produced by my independent production company, Shukovsky English Entertainment (SEE) in association with Warner Bros. Television, for CBS. This program was born prior to the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I would like to explain to you how a program such as this would not survive in today's deregulated climate.

We sold our pilot concept to CBS in late 1987. We described a Washington-based comedy, smart, politically satirical, starring a forty-year old anchorwoman who had just been released from the Betty Ford Center. After turning in my first draft, the network began to have concerns about 1) the age of the woman, 2) the "smartness of the references" i.e. Camus, Margaret Mead, Indira Gandhi, 3) the idea that the woman was a flawed recovering alcoholic. After the usual round of passionate pleadings on behalf of creativity, the network allowed me to write it my way. Why? Because if they didn't, we were free to take the script to another network – and no network wants to see a show they'd rejected become a hit elsewhere. ("Cosby", having been rejected at ABC and picked up at NBC, is the perfect example). During the casting process, CBS initially rejected Candice Bergen as the lead, and argued with me on most of the rest of the cast. But eventually they relented. At that time the producer/writer had a serious place at the table. Networks were run and/or owned by individuals – Bill Paley, Leonard Goldensen, Barry Diller, Grant Tinker – and they had respect for the creative people. They also knew we had the power to walk across the street.

Today, in a post fyn-syn world, it's completely different. Networks are now a single cog in a huge wheel. They talk about "branding" instead of instinct. Bill Paley used to put a show on the air because he liked it and trusted his gut. No one's allowed to have a gut



anymore - only a calculator to figure out the bottom line. Today, if you sell a concept to a network, they either insist you set it up "in house" with their own production company, or they extort ownership in whole or in part by telling you that your show will not make the schedule under any other circumstances. When the network is also the producer, the creative people are reduced to employee status, and we're treated that way. We no longer win our creative battles and television suffers for it. Here are but a few of the suggestions CBS made to me in 1988 when we were creating "Murphy Brown": Murphy should be 30; she should be played by Heather Locklear; she should not be a recovering alcoholic but rather "stressed out" and just returning from a spa; and most of the political humor that was the hallmark of the show should be eliminated because the viewer would be "expected to read the newspaper to get the jokes". In 1988 CBS let me do it my way because I could take the show across the street if I wasn't happy. In 2003, forget it. They own it and you're stuck. By the way, CBS made a small fortune on "Murphy Brown" because it became a top ten show for most of the years it was on and it was an advertiser favorite.

There's another problem with networks owning shows. They never used to think about syndication - the pot of gold at the end of the rainbow. They cared about ratings and that's how they made their money - from ad dollars. But now the lure of winning the syndication lottery has skewed their choice of programs. It's a fact that comedies that appeal to men syndicate more successfully than those with more female appeal. Take a look at CBS's Monday night line-up. It used to be the home of "Designing Women", "Murphy Brown", "Cybill", "Love & War", "Cagney and Lacey" and many others that provided a good ratings alternative to Monday Night Football. But none of these shows ever made the syndication money of a "Seinfeld" or "Everybody Loves Raymond". So, once CBS was allowed to own its own shows, it systematically began to change the Monday comedy line-up from female skewing to male skewing. Now Monday is "King of Oueens", "Yes, Dear", "Raymond", and "Still Standing". Some of these shows are good. But consider this: Each one features a goofy, overweight man married to size 2 beautiful woman who is beleaguered by household chores or her menial job. We've gone back to the fifties! As a producer who was one of the most influential female voices in the creative community, I wonder what our daughters think when they watch these shows. Where are the role models? Where is Mary Tyler Moore or That Girl? Where is Roseanne? And while we're at it, where are the production companies that made these shows? Witt Thomas Harris ("The Golden Girls"), gone. Mozark ("Designing Women"), gone. The Carsey Werner Company ("Roseanne"), a third of their size and trying to hang on. Shukovsky English Entertainment, gone. Gone from the airwaves. We were independents. We were mavericks. We made cutting edge television. We made classics. We cannot survive in the business as employees of a monster media company that has no interest in passion or creativity or individuality – only the bottom line.



I left series television in 1998 after the Fox Broadcasting Network told us it wouldn't put our pilot on the air unless we laid it off at the sister company, Twentieth Century Fox, for a deal far less than the deal we'd made on "Murphy Brown" ten years earlier. Pure extortion. And it happens at every network, day after day.

It pains me to see what has happened to network television. Free television. Why should we have to pay to see a show that doesn't insult the intelligence of the viewer? Where are all these so-called choices that the FCC promised. Just because there's a cooking channel and a fishing channel, does that replace an "ER" or a "Friends" – shows that were born prior to 1996?

Recently, I spoke with the FCC commissioners individually, including Chairman Powell. I must say, I was taken aback by how little understanding the commissioners have of my business and how it works. One of the commissioners asked why I couldn't take one of my smart shows and sell it to PBS. I didn't think I'd have to explain how little funding PBS has, how it is struggling to stay alive, how it is forced to import most of its programs rather than make original shows because it's cheaper.

The networks complain that they can't compete in today's marketplace. So do the airlines. But no one's rushing to bail them out. We ask the airlines to look at their business practices and fix their problems. Why, then, are we bailing out the networks instead of asking them to address their wastefulness and creative bankruptcy? You know that when Barry Diller and Grant Tinker – who both ran networks – come down squarely in favor of reinstating regulations – the reality of complete deregulation is unhealthy all around.

Consider this current snapshot of the television industry today: Independent producers have no access. Competition has been utterly crushed. There is no diversity of ideas or point of view as I illustrated with my CBS Monday Night example. Four entities control it all – Disney, Viacom, Newscorp, and Time Warner. How could that possibly be good? The public airwaves are like a forest being cut down, an endangered species being eliminated, a beautiful river being polluted. The public needs real advocates now. I'm counting on you to lead the charge and I will do anything to help.

Respectfully yours,

Diane English

DAVID W. RINTELS

Senator John McCain Chair, Senate Commerce, Science and Transportation Committee Senator Ernest Hollings Ranking Member Room SR-255 Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senators McCain and Hollings,

I have been privileged to work as a writer and producer in television for more than forty years, mostly in Miniseries and Movies-for-Television. I wrote the play CLARENCE DARROW, which Henry Fonda did on NBC-TV, and also wrote such programs as the miniseries NUREMBERG starring Alec Baldwin and SAKHAROV starring Jason Robards. I both wrote and produced GIDEON'S TRUMPET, starring Henry Fonda and John Houseman, WORLD WAR II: WHEN LIONS ROARED, starring Michael Caine, Bob Hoskins and John Lithgow, THE LAST BEST YEAR with Mary Tyler Moore, and THE MEMBER OF THE WEDDING and ANDERSONVILLE, among many other programs.

What all these programs have in common is that they were written and produced through independent companies, either the one I own with my wife or the independent companies of others. And what they also have in common, alas, is that many writers and producers now recognize that it is hard and indeed almost impossible to do this kind of work in television any more because independent companies, and the spirit which infused and sustained them, have virtually been driven from the scene.

I and other writers and producers now live and work in a business where a few enormously powerful companies control virtually every aspect of the work -- not just who gets to write and produce the programs, but the subjects and the treatment, and who can direct and who can act, who can photograph and who can write the music. It is true both in network and on cable television.

Access and diversity of ideas and diversity of sources in television are virtually as extinct as the dinosaur; they have been replaced with programs which are largely aimed not at the quality which was television's past but only at ratings and profits for the powerful companies. It distresses me greatly, not only because I and my colleagues in the independent world loved being able to do our best work but because we all felt we were trying to give the American people the opportunity to see drama and history and entertainment of quality. Now, as I am sure you know from watching television, the American people have far less opportunity to see good programming, let alone see the best we are capable of doing.

We feel this change for the worse is due to the deregulation of television and the ensuing consolidation that has taken place. Huge companies have taken over the media and driven the independents out of business. And as bad as the situation has become, with all the consequences for viewers, it threatens to become worse on June 2, 2003, when the Federal Communication Commission announces new rules on what all indications point to as further deregulation, inevitably setting in motion more consolidation and less diversity.

I and my colleagues urge you to use your influence with the FCC to require them to include in their new rules provisions which mandate that these huge media companies obtain a significant portion of their programming from independent companies. It is the only way we can envision in which we have a chance to bring to the viewers the best programs we are capable of doing.

Sincerely,

David W. Rintels

Winner of three Emmy Awards, five Christopher Awards, two Peabody Awards (one shared), the Drama Desk Award, D.W. Griffith Award, three Writers Guild of America Outstanding Script of the Year Awards, the Producers Guild of America Producer of the Year Award, the WGA Paddy Chayefsky Lifetime Achievement in Television Award, etc.

LARRY GELBART

May 1, 2003

Senator John McCain Chair, Senate Commerce, Science and Transportation Committee Senator Ernest Hollings Ranking Member Room SR-255 Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senators:

For the past 55 years, I have been a writer-producer in television and have enjoyed a career that has been personally and professionally rewarding. In that time, I created a number of series, most notably, I suppose the television version of M*A*S*H. Like most members of the Writers Guild of America, I have loved long cherished the opportunity to contribute to a medium that has been important in American culture. It has been an honor and a privilege and until recently, creatively satisfying.

Unfortunately, the ability of the independent creative entrepreneurs to dream up, write and produce the kinds of programs that have been the bedrock of American television is in peril.

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 gave everyone the idea that there would be hundreds of channels that would provide thousands of programs from many different sources and thus create a diverse and competitive marketplace. Sadly, that has not happened. Because of media consolidation, six major conglomerates now control the vast majority of the landscape in broadcast and cable television. Six, only six. As Tom Wolzien, a respected media analyst for Sanford Bernstein says, "We are seeing the reemergence of a strong media oligopoly." With consolidation, hundreds of small and medium-sized companies who produce television series and movies have disappeared as networks increasingly insist that they will produce their programming themselves. Whereas 10 years ago, 85% of the programs on television were created and produced by entities independent of the networks, today only 15% to 20% are produced by companies independent of the Big Six. This dramatic shift has resulted in a less creative and open marketplace for writers, producers and directors of television programs, and less good television for the country.

I am concerned about what will happen to America's creative community and to quality independent programming if the FCC allows further consolidation of media. I believe that FCC policy should be directed to maintaining a diversity of

viewpoints from different sources, to encouraging competition and small businesses. I ask that you encourage the FCC to promulgate a new access rule that would require the networks to obtain a significant percentage of their programming from companies that they do not own and control and with which they are not affiliated. The FCC should ensure that independent programming is maintained and protected on television so that in the future the American public can continue to get the best shows that the creative community can produce.

Sincerely,

Larry Gelbart

Television Credits:

The Red Buttons Show
The Bob Hope Show
The Danny Kaye Show
(Sid) Caesar's Hour
M*A*S*H
United States
Mastergate
Barbarians at the Gate
Weapons of Mass Distraction
And Starring Pancho Villa as Himself

ALLAN BURNS

April 28, 2003

Senator John McCain Chair, Senate Commerce, Science and Transportation Committee Senator Ernest Hollings, Ranking Member Room SR-255 Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senators McCain and Hollings:

As a producer and writer of comedy and drama for close to forty years, I was fortunate enough to have created television series that have received many accolades and awards, among them "The Mary Tyler Moore Show", "Rhoda" and "Lou Grant". At the time my partner and I were producing these programs, television was enjoying one of its most productive, competitive and exciting eras, with programs like "All in the Family", "Barney Miller", "Maude", "The Bob Newhart Show", "Roots", "Hill Street Blues", "St. Elsewhere", Get Smart", "Cagney and Lacey" and "The Waltons" vying for attention -- and accolades. What these shows had in common, other than excellence, was the fact that they were all produced by independent companies, companies that, due to vertical integration, no longer exist, having been absorbed by conglomerates. I have always felt that the very independence of producers such as, among others, Herbert Brodkin, Sheldon Leonard, MTM Enterprises, Lorimar, Tandem, Four D, Witt-Thomas, Wolper, Talent Associates and Carsey/Werner (producers of "Cosby" and "Rosanne" and, sadly, the only company still independent) was the reason for their success, free of the corporate bottom-line attitudes and network meddling that has unfortunately led to the homogenization of today's television fare. As one who was privileged to work in an era unfettered by network and corporate ownership of the shows seen by American audiences, I am saddened to see what has become of the medium I was privileged to work in has become, depriving American television audiences of the quality and rich diversity of programming they expect and deserve.

When the 1996 Telecommuncations Act was enacted, many thought that, with the hundreds of channels that would be needing product, a diverse, competitive marketplace would result. However, because of the consolidation of media, only six major multinational conglomerates now control broadcast and cable television. Hundreds of small and mid-sized production companies, such as those listed above, have virtually disappeared from the television landscape. Networks now demand to be allowed in as partners with television producers, not only in series, but in movies of the week, the producers of which have literally been driven from the business by these practices. There was a time not all that long ago when at least 85% of the programming on the networks and cable was produced by these independent producers. Now the number is infinitessimal. This alarming shift in ownership has resulted in a less open and creative atmosphere, resulting in much of the disappointing programming one sees today.

If the FCC continues to allow further consolidation of the media, the result will be the continued erosion of the creative excellence audiences have every right to expect. The Senate committee could have a great influence on FCC policy by directing them to encourage the kind of competition from smaller production entities that used to provide the diversity of ideas and viewpoints represented by the

companies I mentioned earlier. You could accomplish this by urging the FCC to put forth a new primetime access rule that would require networks to buy a significant portion of their programming from independent sources, thereby reintroducing the spirit of creative competition that is sadly missing from American television today. Your support of the creative communities in New York and Hollywood is essential to provide audiences with the best programming possible.

Sincerely,

Allan Burns

Creator/producer of "The Mary Tyler Moore Show", "Rhoda", "Lou Grant", six-time Emmy Award winner, two-time Peabody Award winner, winner of the Writers Guild of America Laurel Award for Lifetime Achievement in Television.

Senator John McCain, Senate Commerce and Transportation Committee Senator Ernest Hollings Ranking Member Room SR-255 Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senators,

As George Bernard Shaw once said, "There are two tragedies in life. One is not to get your hearts desire. The other is to get it." We got our wish in 1996 with the telecommunications act which we thought would provide more programs from many more sources and a competitive marketplace. It didn't happen. What happened was a media consolidation. A half dozen conglomerates have now replaced what was once hundreds of companies producing television series and movies. I've written thirty-six movies and six mini-series for many companies through the years under creative and productive working conditions. Those conditions no longer exist with almost four fifths of the companies I worked for no longer independent of the networks.

What happens now? Will the FCC allow the consolidation to continue? Will the network conglomerates take over completely? Will we no longer have a diversity of many independent creative minds offering the best that we can bring to the public?

I urge you to recommend the networks secure a large percentage of their programming from companies which are not controlled by the networks. I urge you not to let independent programming disappear. Perhaps, then, we'll get our hearts desire and keep it.

Sincerely.

JOHN GAY

CREDITS

In addition to eleven feature films, the television films include "Fatal Vision", "Things In Their Season". "Blind Faith" and adaptations of classics such as "Hunchback of Notre Dame" with Anthony Hopkins and "Ivanhoe" with James Mason. Recipient of an Academy Award nomination, an Emmy nomination, four Writers Guild nominations, two Christopher awards, the Writers Guild Laurel award for television writing achievement.

FROM THE DESK OF:

Greg Strangis

Senator John McCain Chair, Senate Commerce, Science and Transportation Committee Senator Ernest Hollings Ranking Member Room SR-255 Washington, DC 20510

RE: Media Consolidation

Dear Senators:

For over 30 years, I have been a writer-producer in television and have enjoyed a career that has been personally and professionally rewarding. I created the *War of the Worlds* and *Soldier of Fortune* series, and wrote and/or produced many others, including *Eight Is Enough*, *Falcon Crest* and *JAG*. As an independent producer, I produced and deficit-financed a number of Movies for Television including *The Jill Ireland Story* and *The Sinking of the Rainbow Warrior*.

Like most members of the Writers Guild of America, I have loved working in television and I have cherished the opportunity to contribute to a medium that has been important in American culture. It has been an honor and a privilege and, until recently, creatively satisfying.

Unfortunately, the ability of independent creative entrepreneurs to write and produce the kinds of programs that have been the bedrock of American television is in peril.

At the time of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, everyone thought there would be hundreds of channels that would provide thousands of programs from many different sources and thus create a diverse and competitive marketplace. That has not happened. Because of media consolidation, six major conglomerates now control the vast majority of the landscape in broadcast and cable television. As Tom Wolzien, a respected media analyst for Sanford Bernstein says, "we are seeing the reemergence of a strong media oligopoly."

With consolidation, hundreds of small and medium-sized companies who produce television series and movies have disappeared as networks increasingly insist that they will produce their own programming. Whereas a decade ago, 85% of the programs on television were created and produced by entities independent of the networks, today a mere 15% to 20% are produced by

companies independent of the Big Six. This dramatic shift has resulted in a less creative and open marketplace for writers, producers and directors of television programs. It has contributed to the diminution of quality programming. One might even say it has broken the public trust.

I am concerned about what will happen to America's creative community and to quality independent programming if the FCC allows further consolidation of media. I believe that FCC policy should be directed to maintaining a diversity of viewpoints from different sources, to encouraging competition and small businesses. I ask that you encourage the FCC to promulgate a new access rule that would require the networks to obtain a significant percentage of their programming from companies that they do not own and control and with which they are not affiliated. The FCC should ensure that independent programming is maintained and protected on television so that in the future the American public can continue to get the best shows that the creative community can produce.

Looking beyond consolidation's impact on entertainment programming, we must also consider its effect on news and information programming. Additional media consolidation threatens to mute opposing political voices in a manner never before contemplated. Particularly in a climate of campaign finance reform, those out of power or out of favor may well find themselves unfairly silenced. Control of the public airwaves is a gift. When the pursuit of profits is in direct conflict with the public interest, I believe the public should win.

Thank you	for you	consideration.
-----------	---------	----------------

Sincerely,

Greg Strangis